IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 17699 of 2004

Between:

Kaku Krishnaveni, D/o. Kondaiah,
R/o. Sanampudi Village, Singarayakonda Mandal,
Prakasam District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Kandukur, Prakasam District.
2 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Singarayakonda Mandal, Prakasam District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue Writ Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS, declaring the action of the respondent No. 1 in issuing the Notification dt. 23-9-2004 vide R.CF./2430/2004 for filling of the F.P Shop of Shanampudi Village, Singarayakonda Mandal, Prakasam District, where the petitioner is working as a Fair Price Shop Dealer since the year 2000 as illegal, arbitrary, against to the principles of natural justice and consequently set aside the same by directing the respondents herein to consider the case of the petitioner for appointing her as a Regular Dealer.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.MANMADHA RAO KUMBHAJADALA

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR CIVIL SUPPLIES

The Court at the admission stage made the following :

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

O R D E R:

Admittedly, the petitioner was appointed only as a temporary Fair Price Shop Dealer of Sanampudi village, Singarayakonda Mandal, Prakasam District by the 1st respondent vide proceedings No. R.C.F/942/2000 dated 06-04-2000.

This writ petition is filed aggrieved by the action of the respondents in issuing notification dated 23-09-2004 inviting application for filling up the vacancy of the said Fair Price Shop on permanent basis. The contention of the petitioner is that since she is being continued for the past four years without there being any complaint, the respondents ought to have appointed her on permanent basis instead of notifying the said vacancy.

I am unable to agree with the contention of the petitioner. The law is well settled that the temporary dealer does not acquire any right to claim appointment on permanent basis. It is always open to the petitioner to participate in the selection process in pursuance of the impugned notification in which event, the respondents will consider her candidature along with other candidates while making selections.

In the circumstances, the writ petition is devoid of any merit and is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____

01-10-2004

Mjl/*

To

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Kandukur, Prakasam District.

2. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Singarayakonda Mandal,

Prakasam District.

3. 2 CCs to G.P. for Civil Supplies, High Court Building,Hyderabad.(OUT)

4. 2 CD copies.